6TH ST ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS

PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE - RECHANNELIZATION ALTERNATIVES ROLL PLOT

HDR | CITY OF BREMERTON

SCALE: 1" = 40'
215' x 36" ROLL PLOT

0' 40' 80' 160'

KITSAP WAY TYPICAL CROSS SECTION

NOTE: Buffer and median widths may vary to accommodate bike lane protection in recommended areas. Areas recommended for bike lane protection are shown with striped buffers. Areas not recommended for bike lane protection are shown with shading to illustrate a painted buffer. A crop shall be proposed as part of the design.

KITSAP WAY

DESIGN OUTCOMES:
- Increases bike lane safety.
- Provides protected bike lanes with buffer at transit stops.
- Relocates southbound vehicle lane from far to one.
- Maintains existing number of vehicle lanes.
- Retains two northbound vehicle lanes.
- Maintains existing number of on-street parking.
- Reverts 'left-to- left-out' treatment at Carrillon Ave. intersection to 'right-in/right-out' treatment.

ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE:
- Shifts median to the north and shifts median widths to accommodate bike lane buffers and transit stops. Refer to Kuan recommended locations.

LEGEND

- EXISTING KITSAP TRANSIT BUS STOP LOCATION
- EXISTING HIGH-INTENSITY ACTIVATED CROSSWALK (HAWK) LOCATION
- POTENTIAL RECTANGULAR RAPID FLASHING BEACON (RRFB) CROSSING LOCATION
- EXISTING SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION LOCATION
- POTENTIAL NEW SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION LOCATION

GENERAL MODIFICATIONS MAY INCLUDE:
- Signal Timing Optimization
- Signal Head Location Adjustments
- Signal Head Type:
  - 4-5 Section, Mast Arm Mounted
  - 3-4 Section, Span Wire
  - Relocation of Existing Poles & Hardware
- Pedestrian Signal Head Type:
  - Ladder Crosswalk Zone Improvements

BUFFERS SHADED IN RED:
- Buffer is either marked with no shading (like a striped buffer) or shaded with the bike protection that is currently existing. Refer to Kuan for bike lane protection treatments that are currently existing.
- NOTE: Red shading is for illustrative purposes only and is not proposed as part of the design.

Nearmap

BIKE LANE PROTECTION ALTERNATIVES

Bike lane buffers should be set inboard of curb at recommended areas. Some areas may require a combination of alternatives to accommodate driveways and intersections.

ALTERNATIVE A: Parallel Curb

ALTERNATIVE B: Mountable Curb

ALTERNATIVE C: Specialty Curb/Apron

Buffer Width:
- A minimum 6.5' door width is required between curb and delineation device to accommodate opening car doors.
- ADDOT states no protected bike lane is required in low-speed areas that engineering literature and requires a minimum 2.5' buffer width.

Delineation Device:
- Flexible delineators are required between the bike lane and the travel lane.
- Flexible delineators are required between the bike lane and the parking lane.

WYCOFF AVE TO MONTGOMERY AVE

DESIGN OUTCOMES:
- Narrows the number of through lanes from two to one.
- Maintains existing number of vehicle lanes.
- Provides protected bike buffers in both directions.
- Provides buffer to bike lane at transit stops and shortens.
- Improves traffic safety by removing right turn lanes.
- Improves pedestrian safety by:
  - Meets future traffic capacity requirements.
  - Provides protected bike buffers in both directions.
  - Shortens crossing distance for pedestrians from 3 lanes to 2 lanes.
  - Right deceleration and turning speed is improved on the northbound approach to Wycoff Ave. Intersection. This area is currently obstructed by the Furniture World building.

ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE:
- Removal of the right-turn pocket with full shading. Vehicles will drive across bike lane to make right turn and be currently under consideration. Refer to Kuan.

- REMOVAL for new HAWK at Montgomery Ave

KITSAP WAY

Area left unobstructed for future bike lane improvements.

KITSAP WAY

ALTERNATIVES DESCRIPTION

These alternatives are targeted at removing the existing left turning pockets at the Kitsap Way and Olympic Ave. intersection, but creates an opportunity for a potential enhanced pedestrian crossing.

ALTERNATIVE A:
This alternative creates a westbound left turn into Olympic Ave and transfer of present curb and pave.

- Uses full alternative.
- Requires full median use by emergency vehicles.

CONS:
- Pedestrian crossing is 100' from intersection.
- Has continuous pedestrian crossing.

ALTERNATIVE B:
This alternative creates a westbound left turn into Olympic Ave and creates of present curb and pavement.

- Uses full alternative.
- Requires full median use by emergency vehicles.

PROS:
- Allows for partial median use by emergency vehicles.
- Pedestrian crossing is 50' from intersection.

CONS:
- Has continuous pedestrian crossing.

ALTERNATIVE C:
This alternative creates an westbound left turn into Olympic Ave and creates of present curb and pavement (curb median).

PROS:
- Pedestrian crossing provided at the intersection.
- Allows for partial median use by emergency vehicles.
- Curbed refuge area provided for pedestrians.

CONS:
- Moderate cost alternative.

OLYMPIC AVE - ALTERNATIVE C

OLYMPIC AVE - ALTERNATIVE B

OLYMPIC AVE - ALTERNATIVE A

NAVAL AVE - ALTERNATIVE B

ALTERNATIVES DESCRIPTION

These alternatives are targeted at removing the existing left turning pockets at the Naval Ave. intersection, but creates an opportunity for a potential enhanced pedestrian crossing.

ALTERNATIVE A:
This alternative creates a westbound left turn into Olympic Ave and creates of present curb and pave.

- Uses full alternative.
- Requires full median use by emergency vehicles.

CONS:
- Pedestrian crossing is 100' from intersection.
- Has continuous pedestrian crossing.

ALTERNATIVE B:
This alternative creates a westbound left turn into Olympic Ave and creates of present curb and pavement (curb median).

PROS:
- Allows for partial median use by emergency vehicles.
- Pedestrian crossing is 50' from intersection.

CONS:
- Has continuous pedestrian crossing.

ALTERNATIVE C:
This alternative removes the existing median islands and creates a two-way left turn lane. This alternative requires right-of-way acquisition, curb, and sidewalk relocation. This alternative is a long-term consideration, with a horizon right turn. Has bike treatment through the intersection. Has pedestrian crossing is reduced to increase Naval Ave westbound turn capacity.

PROS:
- Allows ambulance future intersection operation requirements.
- Provides an improved opportunity to enhance intersection.
- Provides a facility with planned bicycle improvements on Naval Ave.

CONS:
- Requires right-of-way acquisition curb relay construction and would result in additional costs.
- Cost-extensive, results in additional project costs.

NAVAL AVE - ALTERNATIVE A

Chatterbox Falls / SR Bicycle and Pedestrian Enhancement Project (Completed 2019)

Naval Avenue - 1st to 15th Bicycle and Pedestrian Enhancement Project (Completed 2019)

ALTERNATIVES DESCRIPTION

These alternatives are targeted at removing the safety issue with having opposing left turns at the Hewitt Ave wheel intersection, but creates an opportunity for a potential enhanced pedestrian crossing. Alternative A requires a right only turn movement. Westbound left turns only model that are restricted to both alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE A:
This alternative creates a westbound left turn into Olympic Ave and consists of present curb and pave.

PROS:
- Allows for full median use by emergency vehicles.

CONS:
- Low cost alternative.
- Requires full median use by emergency vehicles.
- Curbed refuge area provided for pedestrians.

ALTERNATIVE B:
This alternative creates a westbound left turn into Olympic Ave and consists of present curb and a small median island.

PROS:
- Allows for partial median use by emergency vehicles.
- Curbed refuge area provided for pedestrians.

CONS:
- Moderate cost alternative.
- Pedestrian crossing is 100' from intersection.

HEWITT AVE - ALTERNATIVE B

HEWITT AVE - ALTERNATIVE A

TYPICAL INTERSECTION PAVEMENT MARKING ALTERNATIVES

NOTE: These alternatives apply at all intersections. For clarity of lane assignment, Alternatives B, C and D are shown in the design.

ALTERNATIVE A:
This alternative adds the pedestrian and bike crossing markings and green bike lane paint.

PROS:
- Low cost alternative.
- Low maintenance requirement.

CONS:
- Minimizes way have a decreased awareness of pedestrians and bicyclists.
- Alternatives B, C and D.

ALTERNATIVE B:
This alternative adds a pedestrian crossing markings.

ALTERNATIVE C:
Bike crossing markings.

ALTERNATIVE D:
Bike crossing and departure green markings.

PROS:
- Increased motorist awareness of pedestrians and bicyclists.

CONS:
- Cost installation and ongoing maintenance costs.

TWO-WAY LEFT TURN LANE AND BIKE LANE EDGE LINE ALTERNATIVES

NOTE: These alternatives apply at all locations shown with a two-way left turn lane.

NOTE:
Compatible with bike lane edge line alternatives A and D.

NOTE:
Compatible with bike lane edge line alternatives B and C. Requires a minimum shy distance of 1' with minimum bike lane is 12' wide.

BIKE LANE EDGE LINE ALTERNATIVES:

ALTERNATIVE A: 4' Wide Edge Line

ALTERNATIVE B: (SB) 4' Wide Edge Line, (NB) Left Turn Pocket Only

ALTERNATIVE C: (SB) Double 4' Wide Line with Single Protected Lane

ALTERNATIVE D: (NB) Double 4' Wide Line with Single Protected Lane

COST IMPACT LEGEND:
$ - LOW COST
$$ - MODERATE COST
$$$ - HIGHEST COST

WARREN AVE

DESIGN OUTCOMES:
- Reduces the number of through lanes from two to one.
- Provides bike lanes in both directions.
- Maintains existing number of vehicle lanes.
- Allows bike/vehicle operation requirements.
- Provides a dedicated left turn lane at the right turn lane.
- Removes conflict once recently updated by transit and a right turn lane with bike lane.

- Provides a four-way stop. Maximizes time for access to the bus stop and commercial driveway.
- Provides bike buffer provided on approach to the Broadway Ave. intersection.

NOTE: Alternatives A and B have the same physical layout. The alternatives differ in the signal operation described below.

ALTERNATIVE A:
This alternative allows buses to drive forward from the right turn lane to the bus stop. Buses will not block the left turn lane. This alternative is activated with pavement markings and signing only (no passive beacon) and does not modify traffic signals.

ALTERNATIVE B:
This alternative has the same physical layout as Alternative A. This alternative has added signal head to improve bus operations.

Signal timing and phasing is modified:
- Left turn is not shared with the bus lane. This alternative has added a signal head to improve bus operations. This allows a bus to through lane after making a turn.

PROS:
- Provides the greatest frequency between merging buses and regular vehicular traffic.
- Minimizes overall vehicle delay.

CONS:
- High cost alternative.
- Increases the delay of Way-Transit to modify the bus only location in the future.

PARK AVE TO WASHINGTON AVE - ALTERNATIVE B

PARK AVE TO WASHINGTON AVE - ALTERNATIVE A

ALTERNATIVES DESCRIPTION

ALTERNATIVE A:
This alternative removes all on-street parking. This alternative is the most effective way to add bike facilities to a two-way left turn lane. This alternative has the most impact to the community and has the least impact to traffic operations.

PROS:
- Provides protected bike lanes on both sides.
- Removes parking adjacent to the bike lane for safety protection.

CONS:
- Commercial businesses will result in left turning vehicles obstructing through vehicles. Additional conflict points.

ALTERNATIVE B:
This alternative removes all on-street parking, but adds back parking on one side. This alternative is the second most effective way to add bike facilities to a two-way left turn lane. This alternative has the second most impact to the community and has the second most impact to traffic operations.

PROS:
- Left turn is not shared through vehicles.
- Provides protected bike lanes for safety.
- Emergency vehicles can utilize two-way left turn lane to bypass traffic.

CONS:
- No on-street parking is retained.

ON-STREET PARKING ALTERNATIVES

A 5.5' door buffer is provided to the vehicle lane. The remaining width is used for parking and a 2' shy distance.

WASHINGTON AVE

WASHINGTON AVE ALTERNATIVE B:
Bicycle left turns onto 5th Street are facilitated by a bicycle box extending from the bike lane. Bicycle left turns onto Washington Ave are facilitated by a two-stage left turn box. Bicycle left turns onto 6th Street are facilitated by a two-stage left turn box.

WASHINGTON AVE ALTERNATIVE A:
Bicycle left turns onto 5th Street are facilitated by a two-stage left turn box. Bicycle left turns onto Washington Ave are facilitated unsignalized crossings. The alternative is the most effective alternative configuration of a planned bicycle facility and has the least impact to the community and traffic operations.